

PROPOSED APPROACH TO NHDOE EDI/EBT WORKING GROUP

Since this is a neutral Working Group convened and overseen by the New Hampshire Department of Energy, it seems appropriate that the members/attendees of the Working Group meeting collectively, under the guidance of the DOE, determine what should and should not be included in the purview of the Working Group, including addressing the Commission's reference to a future rulemaking. Each participant has various issues and concerns that they bring to this group, but as a neutral working group, starting with a "blank slate" of sorts with the participants building from the existing framework and EDI functionality strikes more of a balance and equitable starting policy position as opposed to adopting any one participant's agenda and desired end-goal outcomes as the purview of the working group and of the DOE. Eversource suggests the following for today's meeting:

- Outline the roles of the chairs for subgroups (largely logistical, from my understanding)
- Each party participant proposes issues for consideration and discussion for inclusion in the Working Group agenda
- Working Group members/attendees assess the proposed issues, determines what is in scope for the purview of the working group, compiles a working issue list/agenda that defines the scope of work for the group moving forward
- Outline process: frequency of meetings, necessary milestones, timeline, end-goal deliverables
 - Report?
 - Recommendation to PUC?
 - Draft EDI change control rules for PUC or DOE adoption?
- Set next meeting, or next couple/few meetings