Hello Office of Strategic Initiatives,

Here are my comments.

Goal #1

- Renewable energy sources are cheaper and more abundant than fossil fuel resources in the long run. Solar, for example, may initially cost more to install but is basically FREE, once the infrastructure is in place. Same with wind. So, in fact, in the long-term (which is what we are planning for I hope) these resources are NOT above market cost.
- Electric vehicles use a lot of electricity to charge (40% of an average resident's home use of electricity for one vehicle), another reason to fund and incentivize renewable resources.

Goal #4:

- Promoting Energy Efficiency and helping low-income residents achieve energy efficiency is a very important goal.

Goal #5 Add Health Concerns in Goal Title, as the climate warms and folks do not have air conditioners, deaths could occur.

Goal #6

- Government should provide infrastructure funding or incentives, not subsidization. Fossil fuel industries are heavily subsidized.

Goal #7

- If a carbon fee or tax is added to fossil fuel resources, then this goal makes sense.

Goal #8

* Government should assist with workforce development. Funds need to be budgeted to train new electricians, and wind turbine installers, and any new technology that is carbon neutral.

Goal #9

- Seabrook should remain open to generate electricity during its economic lifespan. During this time period, the state can add renewable resources which will keep carbon emissions on a downward progression.

Goal #10

- Should be eliminated. Pretty sure NH can't achieve this goal anyway.

Recommended New Goals:

Goal #1: No new carbon emitting resources! Except perhaps biomass, I am not sure of its carbon footprint but it is an abundant resource in this state.

Thank you for allowing public comment.

--
Karen M. Contos
Merrimack, NH

Hello,

My name is Bill Cable. I have been a resident of Cornish, NH for 38 years. As all scientific studies have concluded our CO2 emissions from fossil fuels must be greatly curtailed to prevent catastrophic climate change from happening. If our State Energy Strategy does not reflect this then what is its purpose. Please make sure it is modified to reflect the crisis we are in. We need to support renewable energy in every way possible.

Bill Cable

We desperately need good energy policy that encourages renewable sourced energy. We need higher goals. Reaching 25% carbon reduction is NOT a substantial goal.
We urgently need to reduce our addition to fossil fuel. Please increase incentives to move away from gas and oil.
Nancy Wightman, Cornish, NH

Sent from my iPad

Dear Office of Strategic Initiatives,

Thank you for your time in reading my letter, and for your service to our state and its residents.

I would like to share my comments on what types of changes I feel are appropriate when updates are made to the 10 year Energy Strategy. Upon reading the § 3: Fuel Diversity of the "2018 10 Year State Energy Strategy" (https://www.nh.gov/osi/energy/programs/documents/2018-10-year-state-energy-strategy.pdf).

I hope that we will update the strategy to target having at least 20% in renewable energies by 2025. The table in the 2018 document shows that our target energy usage is about 8.5% total between Hyrdo, Wind, and Solar renewable energies.

Renewables is the direction that the economy is going, when looking at car manufacturers, aviation sector sustainable alternative jet fuels (SAFs), and decreasing costs in residential and commercial solar technologies. There will be an inflection point in the next year or so and it would be wise for NH to have bolstered its renewable energy plans before then. There are already many solar companies based out of MA that perform installations in NH, taking that NH wealth out of the state. Rather than digging our heels into a proverbial identity of total uniqueness and independence, we should look to what other states around us are doing when they are creating jobs and industry within these renewal energies, and figure out what we can do to capture that wealth. For example, an increase to the net metering limit for those solar users who use a NH company for installation, for the first X #years, etc. There must be ways to use incentives to bring more wealth and less emissions to our state at the same time.

I understand from Maggie Hassan's sponsored bill, S. 1038 Regional Greenhouse Gas Reduction Act of 2021, that NH is now a part of the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (among all other states in the NorthEast region except PA). This aims to establish additional grants that could be awarded for our efforts in reducing emissions, which starts with our energy resource selection. I would like for the Office to acknowledge what grants are available to NH for all Energy types, so that there is a robust analysis of what the costs would be to build up our renewable energy infrastructure vs. sticking with more of the same in terms of Nuclear and Natural Gas.

I understand why we as a state are so reliant on Nuclear given the NextEra Seabrook Energy Station locally producing so much energy (and so many jobs). However, with unfixable leaks and failures in their waste management, as well as lowering energy costs of other renewable fuels, we should come up with a long term plan to unwind how heavy our reliance is on this single resource. The author(s) of the 2018 plan emphasized heavily that we should not be too reliant on one method of energy, but perhaps missed that we are in fact already too reliant on a single source already.

Additionally, it seems that it would be more appropriate for biases and political leanings to be left out of the Strategy document. A technical writer or publisher could perhaps help with such efforts if the Office members find that task difficult. As a resident, I would like to be able to read the Strategy and easily ascertain what the science is: energy rates, costs, efficiencies measured numerically, rather than opinions represented as dogmatic facts.

Thank you,
Sarah Abramson
(for your pollsters: 32, High Household Income, Suburban)
Stratham, NH Resident

Dear OSI,
As a 40 year NH resident and former science teacher, I feel that it is imperative to have a 10 year energy strategy which aggressively prepares us to reach net zero carbon emissions by 2050. We should be at least one third of the way there within the next 10 years. Our lives and livelihoods depend on it!
Thank you for gathering public comments.
Sarah Thorne
38 Perkins Rd.
Gilmanton Iron Works, NH

Hello,
As a NH citizen for the last 15 years, there are two main things I'd like to see with regards to New Hampshire's Energy Policy going forward.
1) A more aggressive emissions reduction goal. It feels like NH is lagging behind the rest of New England in its efforts to cut greenhouse gas emissions resulting from electricity generation.
2) A stronger shift from fossil fuels to renewables. I'd like to see us investing more in renewable technologies and efforts and continuing to reduce investments in fossil fuel infrastructure.

Thank you,
Angie Krysiak
Raymond, NH

Angie Krysiak
NH Energy Education Project
(872) 870-3077
nheep.org
pronoun: (she/her/hers)

Dear OSI,

Having extensively read the 2018 Energy Strategy, I came away feeling that it was not ambitious enough. Also, having spoken with various individuals at state agencies, I was told that almost none of the individuals involved in energy related matters were asked to consult and be part of the effort to write that plan whether it be renewable energy, energy efficiency, RPS or the RGGI. That was a lost opportunity and at the same time it sent the message to many state employees that their input and expertise was not appreciated. I strongly urge you to include all relevant state agencies in developing the new strategy.

In terms of technology and planning by our neighboring states, 3 years is a significant time, enough to see many changes and new opportunities. Certainly, the developing opportunities for Offshore Wind energy are now more substantive than 3 years ago. Also, new technologies around energy efficiency, solar energy, battery technologies and falling prices for many of these power sources requires NH to get more aggressively engaged. Prices for energy storage, solar energy and even newly proposed offshore wind projects have been dropping in addition to the emerging competitive prices for electrical heat pumps to replace heating and cooling needs, and new attention being given to zero-emission Green H2 for use as a transportation fuel as well as a heating fuel.

The new 10-Year plan allows NH to set a new course for renewable energy and energy demand reduction, at a time when economic opportunities are favorable. Our state is relatively small, so that our best strategy for greening our economy is to assertively push down all types of energy use, maximize our own energy resources, including the biomass and hydro power industry, and then to implement new clean and green energy generation in our state. One of the first steps that could be made is to contract for a certain percentage of the electrical power that would be generated by offshore wind installation in the Gulf of Maine.

Please be bold !

Respectfully, Rep. Peter Somssich, ST&E Ranking Committee Member
tel. 603-436-5382

Hello,
As a NH citizen for the last 15 years, there are two main things I'd like to see with regards to New Hampshire's Energy Policy going forward.

1) A more aggressive emissions reduction goal. It feels like NH is lagging behind the rest of New England in its efforts to cut greenhouse gas emissions resulting from electricity generation.

2) A stronger shift from fossil fuels to renewables. I'd like to see us investing more in renewable technologies and efforts and continuing to reduce investments in fossil fuel infrastructure.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

~Katie Lyon-Pingree
(she/her)

Hello OSI,

I am writing to ask that the next update be much more aggressive to encourage renewable energy. particularly solar, wind and battery storage.

NH is getting left behind for no good reason.

There needs to be more support for electric and charging infrastructure, as well as electric vehicles with time of use metering for better use of the electricity available at night.

More support for locally generated renewable resources.

A lot more can be done to incentivize and support energy efficiency as the lowest cost way to ratepayers to save money.

Please, a much better update can be made.

Thanks,

Rick Russman
603.548.7448

In 2017, I testified that the 10-Year State Energy Strategy of that time was good and that it could be improved by incorporating support for a carbon fee and dividend policy to allow market forces to accelerate the transition to renewable energy. My testimony and that of all of the people who joined me in Dublin to advocate for an increase in renewable energy was pretty much ignored. The strategy that emerged, in spite of the recognition of the stakeholders that the climate crisis dictates a rapid transition to renewable energy, was a prediction that natural gas will be needed for the foreseeable future and that all decisions should be based on lowering the cost to the ratepayers.

As it turns out, the costs of solar, wind, and battery storage have dropped precipitously since that 2017 testimony. The dangers of continuing to use fossil fuels to generate electricity have become clearer as we experience more and more negative effects of climate change. Comments in the final 2018 document imply that renewable energy is cost-effective only when subsidized, completely ignoring the large subsidies that have been supporting fossil fuels all along. Clearly, the 2018 reasoning is completely outdated.

The town of Peterborough, where I live, has just passed a warrant article (with 74% of the vote) that sets a goal for the entire town of transitioning to 100% renewable electricity by 2030 and to 100% renewable energy for heating, transportation, and all other uses by 2050. This is consistent with goals expressed at the federal level and by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. I have also recently viewed an Eversource TV ad announcing Eversource will be providing carbon-free electricity by 2030.

It is urgently necessary for the OSI to develop a strategy for ending all fossil fuel use as rapidly as possible. There is more and more evidence that converting to 100% renewable electricity and then converting all other fossil fuel uses to use that renewable electricity will actually save ratepayers money. According to recent research by Griffith and Calisch (rewiringamerica.org), electrifying everything will save the average New Hampshire household $3,914 each year and create 49,952 net new jobs.

We don't need any new technology to accomplish this transition. We simply need the OSI to produce a good plan to educate citizens about the necessary changes, offer attractive financing, promote offshore wind and other renewable energy sources in New Hampshire, and modernize our grid. I'm certain that all of this is well within the capabilities of the OSI. Please act before it is too late.

Anne Huberman
50 Timberpond Drive, #1104
Peterborough, NH 03458
603-924-0842

Greetings,

I live in Cornish NH and teach classes on climate change and sustainability science at the University of Massachusetts in Boston.

There are many aspects of the NH OSI 2018 State Energy Strategy that don't serve the public well, but here I will focus on just one. Several passages imply – and others state outright – that renewables are only competitive if there are government subsidies or other ways of "stacking the deck" against fossil fuels. This idea is made explicit in at least 3 of the 11 stated goals:

- "6. Government intervention in energy markets should be limited, justifiable, and technology-neutral."
- "7. Encourage market-selection of cost-effective energy resources."
- "8. Generate in-state economic activity without reliance on permanent subsidization of energy."

As well as

- "10. Protect against neighboring states' policies that socialize costs."

The implication here is that as long as government agencies keep their hands off energy markets, prices will sort themselves out. Nothing could be further from the truth. For many decades, we have been living in a world where energy markets have been heavily slanted toward artificially reducing costs of fossil fuel production, from extraction to generation and distribution. Historically, this began relatively innocently in an era when the benefits of cheap fossil fuels were obvious and the risks were unknown. As these risks became known (first by the fossil fuel industry itself, due to internal product research), the industry began a successful campaign to protect its favored position.

How slanted are energy markets today? According to the non-partisan EESI fact sheet, "Conservative estimates put U.S. direct subsidies to the fossil fuel industry at roughly $20 billion per year" (EESI, https://www.eesi.org/papers/view/fact-sheet-fossil-fuel-subsidies-a-closer-look-at-tax-breaks-and-societal-costs). Indirect subsidies of many kinds add up to even more government support for fossil fuel industries.

These fossil fuel subsidies keep electricity rates artificially low in many parts of the country, but NH's power mostly comes from nuclear – which is also heavily subsidized by government policy. Within New England, NH residential rates are 19.27 cents/kWh – less than either RI (24.09 cents/kWh) or CT (22.69 cents/kWh), and also less than the New England average (21.50 cents/kWh). https://www.eia.gov/electricity/monthly/epm_table_grapher.php?t=epmt_5_6_a

Residential rates vary widely across the US, from Hawaii (30.55 cents/kWh) to Louisiana (7.01 cents/kWh).

https://www.energybot.com/electricity-rates-by-state.html There are many reasons why these rates vary between states. However, rates from **renewables will clearly be lower** as soon as adequate distribution infrastructure is in place, as the generation component of costs approaches zero.

The US Dept of Energy reports that the solar industry achieved its Project SunShot 2020 goal three years ahead of time in 2017 – an LCOE residential rate of 16 cents/kWh. The new goal for 2030 is 5 cents/kWh

for residential (utility-scale rates are much lower). https://www.energy.gov/eere/solar/sunshot-2030#:~:text=In%202017%2C%20the%20solar%20industry,kilowatt%2Dhour%20(kWh)

Importantly, fossil fuel energy rates don't reflect many of the biggest costs to society, including vast ongoing health impacts and upcoming climate distortion.

In sum, the NH State Energy Strategy is correct where it says, "It should not be controversial to seek an ultimate outcome where production technologies are not subsidized by ratepayers or taxpayers" (p. 8) – but it is completely off-base when it implies that favorable treatment of renewables is the problem.

Anyone concerned about lowering NH energy rates long-term should enthusiastically invest in the changes needed to transition rapidly to renewables at scale.

--
Dr Reinmar Seidler
Research Assistant Professor
Department of Biology
University of Massachusetts Boston
Director of Programs, ATREE-USA
reinmar.seidler@gmail.com
Tel +1 603 229 8876

Hello,

As a NH citizen for the last 20 years, there are two main things I'd like to see with regards to New Hampshire's Energy Policy going forward.

1) A more aggressive emissions reduction goal. It feels like NH is lagging behind the rest of New England in its efforts to cut greenhouse gas emissions resulting from electricity generation.

2) A stronger shift from fossil fuels to renewables. I'd like to see us investing more in renewable technologies and efforts and continuing to reduce investments in fossil fuel infrastructure.

Shifting away from fossil fuels and reducing emissions are some of the strongest steps we can take towards making a positive impact on climate change to secure the health of our world for future generations.

Thank you,

Unity Dienes
115 Hoit Rd
Concord, NH 03301

Dear OSI Staff,

I write to encourage you to adopt the following as you revise the state's energy plan:

1) do everything possible to support local energy generation, keeping our energy dollars in state

2) recognize the external costs of all energy supply types and if possible internalize these costs into the price of energy. Only then can we move towards a free market for energy supply.

3) until such time as item 2 is covered, do what is necessary to keep in state renewable energy growth robust. Also do what is necessary to keep existing renewables operating. As you may know, older renewable energy plants, be they hydro, biomass or solar, are struggling to stay on line because the avoided cost rates are at all time lows. Those plants on the wholesale energy rate are paid about 2.5 c/kwh. Contrast that to the Eversource default rate of 6.6 c/kwh and the residential retail bundled rate (17 c/kwh). These older small plants are absolutely getting hammered and will likely shut down if their rate doesn't pick up.

4) revise net metering to raise the cap to 5 MW. This will resolve some of the issues cited in item 3, above. An added bonus of more net metered resources is a reduction in transmission costs. Please note the New England ISO has specifically mentioned NH as the victim of astronomical increases in transmission costs. This problem can be ameliorated with additional behind-the-meter energy resources and of course additional energy efficiency.

5) the cheapest energy is the energy saved. Improve support of energy efficiency funding and beef up building codes.

Thank you for your consideration!

Bob King, P.E.
42 Hurricane Rd.
Keene, NH 03431
(603) 352-3444

New Hampshire is a state that treasures outdoor activity, reveres nature, and entices residents and visitors to make use of our varied and beautiful natural landscape. At the same time, it forefronts the liberty of citizens and our right to live safe, happy, healthy lives.

These values should be reflected in the state's energy strategy, and that will take a bold revision of the plan. The current renewable energy goals are far behind the rest of New England and they don't reflect the spirit of New Hampshire.

To protect the natural resources we love, and to protect the future of our citizens, we must invest in and focus policy on renewable, clean energy. It is immoral *not* to concentrate policy and resources on this aspect of energy and instead to take a "hands-off" approach. It has not worked so far, and there is no indication that it will work in the future. People with far more knowledge than I have come up with innovative strategies to achieve 100% renewable energy in our state; listen to their ideas.

By rejecting "favoritism" of renewable energy, this plan practices favoritism of the status-quo and fossil fuel. Continuing reliance on fossil fuels is bad for the business, bad for our natural resources, and bad for the next generations of Granite Staters. I hope the politicians and administrators in our state can reject the status-quo and resist the calculated influence of the powerful fossil fuel industry and interests (including ISO-NE)—and instead be forward-looking, innovative, and brave in this arena.

Alyce Torrice
Newmarket, NH

Our energy goals should seriously address the need to reduce CO2. As we move towards electric heating and transportation the country will need more power generation. Policy should encourage transitioning to renewables. Wind and solar are free sources of energy and more cost effective than fossil fuels. Renewables will provide a cleaner source for power production.
Rooftop solar and community arrays, combined with battery storage has already proven to help keep electric costs low and definitely valuable for lowering peak time costs.
Please see the urgency of this. Sustainable communities and households are a good thing. Make it easier to transition rather than creating roadblocks.
Nancy Wightman, Cornish

Sent from my iPad

I installed 2500 watts of solar panels at my residence in 2010 and I received a rebate from the State at that time. I am now upgrading the system by doubling the number of panels to 24 with a net output of nearly 8Kw, but I do not qualify for a further rebate even though 11 years has lapsed. It would likely encourage further solar expansion if there was a time limit for prohibiting residential rebates, say 10 years, rather than the infinite ban that now holds. It seems certainly to the benefit of rate payers to increase solar expansion and minimize the need for power company expansion paid for by rate increases.
Sincerely,
Andrew Daubenspeck
351 Poverty Lane
Lebanon, NH 03766

I feel that New Hampshire is badly out of step with US Department of Energy goals:

https://www.energy.gov/science-innovation/climate-change

> "Addressing the effects of climate change is a top priority of the Energy Department. As global temperatures rise, wildfires, drought, and high electricity demand put stress on the nation's energy infrastructure. And severe weather -- the leading cause of power outages and fuel supply disruption in the United States -- is projected to worsen, with eight of the 10 most destructive hurricanes of all time having happened in the last 10 years.
>
> To fight climate change, the Energy Department supports research and innovation that makes fossil energy technologies cleaner and less harmful to the people and the environment. We're taking responsible steps to cut carbon pollution, develop domestic renewable energy production and win the global race for clean energy innovation. We're also working to dramatically increase the efficiency of appliances, homes, businesses and vehicles ."

From the NH 2018 State Energy Strategy Executive Summary:

> "Addressing energy costs is a critical goal for New Hampshire. Expensive energy – or pursuing policies that raise the cost of energy – directly and negatively impacts New Hampshire families and businesses and the quality of life in our state. As such, the priority of this Strategy is to organize goals around cost-effective energy policies."

I urge you to look out your windows and consider adopting a leadership role. The current strategy is myopic in the extreme and belongs to another era. Sadly.

Respectfully,
John Miller

John Miller
280 Orfordville Rd
Orford, NH 03777
(603) 353-4877
jtmiller@myfairpoint.net

Regarding the update to the 10 year energy strategy:

NH should adopt building codes which are consistent with the latest international standards. There are many energy conservation benefits to adopting the latest standards. At present NH is at a 2015 level, vs. the 2018 level or the emerging 2021 standard.

In addition, the NH state government should encourage multifamily housing buildings to include provisions for EV charging in their parking areas for all new construction. This could be included in updated building codes. Reduction of the number of required parking spaces is another potential incentive mechanism. The city of Dover has innovated in this manner.

William Coder
7 Pinecrest Cir
Bedford, NH 03110

It is with great pleasure that I write to you to express my feelings about Solar. Solar energy is a freely available resource and we should not lose the opportunity to use it now. We can wipe out the footprint that electricity generation has forced upon us since Edison discovered the light bulb: the man who invented the future (a great read by the way). Futuristic; that's how we must see Solar. We can change the face of our landscape; so many projects are waiting for solar 'to be everywhere'. You won't have use gasoline anymore... Solar Batteries are a top priority. They will be used to gather free electricity all day while you are at work and will be there for you to use, free-and-clear, if you commute, once you come back; as the Almighty made it available for all: a gift from the Heavens. Your vehicle will charge overnight, and will be ready by morning. Yes I am a visionary; baptized with the Holy Spirit, and with the Gift of Prophecy; according to the Holy Scriptures, and the Pentecostal Church. The Almighty is the love of my life; the Giver of all things. You have a rare look inside the mind of someone with a Gift, proclaimed not by me, but by the Pentecostal Church and the Holy Scriptures; according to the 'Day of Pentecost'. I was 13 when the Almighty 'called' me. I am making it available to you this moment because you will be helping so many people to free the world from: smog, breathing problems: like asthma; greenhouse effects, and will even reduce or eliminate heating costs; depending on the number of batteries and on battery capacity. The number one obstacle you must eliminate is any attachment to home property value increases, and eliminate all property taxes associated with the adoption of solar. It is the number one thing keeping homeowners - like me - from adoption. People are strained beyond their capacity, and cannot 'speculate'. Property taxes must not be a burden and Cities and States must not try to take advantage of its freely available resource by making it a burden on homeowners, but Cities and States must use solar themselves to eliminate their own reliance and their own usage of electricity and gasoline. The savings will immediately free Cities and States from the burden of paid electricity. Paid electricity will be a thing of the past. Cities and States not realizing its benefits, will stop its adoption advance, and progress, in its tracks; whether subsidized or not; before it even starts. It would be devastating financially to the homeowners because it would wipe out the benefits of adoption. The reason as to why solar is freely available, is to free you from financial penance; not to create it. Finances control people, their future, and ultimately their lives. There are even more ways where people will benefit further: although batteries will be gathering and storing energy, there is the chance there will be more energy to be had and shared while tied to the Electrical Grid, as it [the grid] will take a new roll to deliver that stored electricity to those who need it during moments of low sunlight; that is why widespread solar batteries are so important, because they will change your lives. I'm a Servant of the Almighty God. And His children? You, your families, your neighbors, are all His children. Prepare for the future because it's here now.

About Us:

The man behind this letter... Those feelings about solar come from a great understanding on the subject. I have a degree in Industrial Electronics with Computer-Aided Design and Computer-Aided Manufacturing. The excitement about electronics, in particular; solar, goes far beyond a degree. I began as one of those whiz-kids; when Radio Shack was at its cuspid; experimenting with their solar kits. Discovery was a great world of excitement to me. The first color TV in my home (an appliance that can benefit widely from solar because of its high electricity usage, and therefore its environmental footprint) first came to our home because of a God-given talent in electronics; before I went to college to study the subject. It all began one day, when I saw a publication of 'Want-ads' (back then, what was the equivalent of today's Craig's List). The publication was advertising an RCA Color TV (broken) for $99 dollars. Off I went! bought it and repaired it. The image was beautiful: clearly state-of-the-art electronics; an XL100 chassis [where all the electronic components lay inside] if my memory doesn't fail me. It had a touchpad to change channels; not the regular run-of-the-mill rotary knob-type channel

changer. I then took that touchpad and extended it so I could change the channels; without leaving the sofa - right from my chair. Was it the first-ever invented hand-held wired-remote control - for a TV? Who knows... Again, that experience was before I went to college. And I say this humbly; understanding how lucky I have been and how I am so thankful to the Almighty God for giving me the opportunity to be part of something so exciting: the world of electronics. Getting an education in Electronics seemed logical; a no-brainer. So I pursuit it; three times high honors in college, 3.92 GPA in my first semester (0.08 away from a 4.0), twice invited to join the national honor society for college students, graduated with honors (chasing after my gorgeous college sweetheart: Silvia). She looked like a model. I married her after college, so it was worth it. But as if that wasn't enough, while in college, I received an honor reserved only for students of high academic achievement who have yet to graduate, but get to wear a special gown to serve as an Usher for that year's graduating class. Did anyone tell these clearly loving people that I was just a Puerto Rican kid, son of a Sargent firefighter, and my mother a fashion designer, and I was just a kid that many years earlier had arrived to the mainland, at the age of 12, not speaking more than a small vocabulary of words in English? I was; however, 'that' kid that would be able to fix your TV. Because of it, I grew in popularity in my church; broken microphones, speakers, amplifiers, guitars: you name it; nothing was impossible in those days for a healthy go-lucky kid, who loved the Almighty God - the Creator of the Heavens and the Earth. What great wholesome families those church families were. They taught me, and gave me that great sense: that there are great people out there. I love them to this day; that kind elderly lady that made these great 'pupusas'; a native food of her country, that she so selflessly made to help pay for the church building. Supporting her was a no-brainer. The Almighty took notice. I was so young! Nowadays, so many kids just want to do drugs. I have no vices: don't drink, I don't do drugs, and I don't smoke. They get the credit: first the Almighty, and second; that great church community for their role model. It does take a village to raise a child. I have to mention them; especially to you, who make decisions everyday that influence and affects people. They are behind the person I am today. Let's face it: We rarely see these testimonials today. And how can we fix society? If the Almighty gave me a talent to fix, well maybe it is no coincidence I am writing ✍ this letter today. The Almighty with His Holy Spirit, and great church communities baptized with the Holy Spirit, because it's Holy, sealed by the Almighty God, are the answer to all of our problems; in today's world, and so many people have missed it. But they have to be baptized with the Holy Spirit, otherwise it won't work. The Holy Spirit is the Seal of Approval from the Almighty God - the Creator of the Heavens and the Earth. So before the Kingdom of Heaven can fix solar, let's fix society. It's a great calling. I will love of all of you forever and want to spend eternity with each and everyone of you; people who love the Almighty God; the Trinity of the Almighty God.

Forever yours,
Jorge Luis Rivera Ruiz

Sent from my iPhone

Could be a good option to bring this back!

https://manchestertrolley.net/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/Trolley-Tour-Map-2019-v6.jpg

Please consider the following comments on the updating of the 10 year energy strategy:

1. NH is overdue in setting an overall green house gas emissions goal. Since the bulk of the emissions are produced by transportation and energy production it would be appropriate to include meaningfully ambitious goals in the strategy document. An ad hoc committee provided a framework: https://nhemissioncommission.com/
2. Renewable energy goals for electric power generation are among the lowest in New England, probably the lowest. NH shares a regional grid but has been failing in production of low emissions energy other than Seabrook's contribution. We need to close the renewable energy gap with our neighboring states.
3. A robust incentive program for EV charging infrastructure is badly needed. NH roads are not hospitable to EV drivers, especially north of Concord where a significant tourist economy is jeopardized by poor charging options.

William Coder
7 Pinecrest Circle
Bedford, NH 03110

Dear NH Policy Makers,

As a citizen of NH for 33 years, there are two key things I'd like to see enacted with regards to New Hampshire's Energy Policy.

1) An aggressive emissions reduction goal. NH is lagging behind the rest of New England in its efforts to cut greenhouse gas emissions resulting from electricity generation.

2) A strong shift from fossil fuels to renewables. NH needs to invest much more in both renewable technologies and in transitioning away from the fossil fuel infrastructure.

We need to make informed choices that will result in sustainability in our communities, in our economy and in our environment for generations to come.

Respectfully yours,

Linda Sample
44 Langford Road
Raymond, NH 03077

As you update New Hampshire's 10-Year Energy Strategy, I hope you will put great emphasis on planning for and moving efficiently toward our inevitable fossil-free future. I was very disappointed that the 2018 plan retreated rather than building on the previous forward-looking plan.

I hope the new plan will recognize that a high and steadily increasing price on carbon is the most effective, efficient, market-based way to reduce greenhouse gas emissions at the scale and speed required (https://clcouncil.org/economists-statement/). If the fees charged are distributed directly and equally to citizens, it would be revenue neutral, it would not grow government, and the great majority of people (especially lower income) would come out ahead.

And I hope the 10-year plan will make clear that no new investments should be made in fossil fuel infrastructure, both to help move the economy toward the future and to avoid the cost of stranded assets.

Thank you for your work.

Suzanne Butcher
Keene, NH